

**CITY OF BELLEVUE  
PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD  
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

Tuesday  
February 9, 2010  
6:00 p.m.

Conference Room 1E-113  
Bellevue City Hall  
Bellevue, Washington

**BOARDMEMBERS PRESENT:** Chair Keeney, Vice-Chair Roland, Boardmembers George, Grindeland, LaPine, Stokes

**BOARDMEMBERS ABSENT:** Boardmember Robinson

**COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT:** Councilmember Robertson

**PARKS STAFF PRESENT:** Mike Bergstrom (PCD), Robin Cole, Pam Fehrman, Patrick Foran, Nancy Harvey, Glenn Kost, Shelley McVein, Camron Parker, Terry Smith, Helena Stephens

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Mildred Barker, Bob Becker, Rod Bindon, Sandra Boyd, David Browne, Al Calcagno, Marilyn Carlton, Aaron Dichter, Sue Drais, Paul & Peggy Driftmeyer, Pamela Ebsworth, Kathy Gwilym, Deborah Jerome, Mike Jiang, David Kentala, Charlie Klinge, Leslie Kodish, Doug Leigh, Laurie Lyford, Crystal Madison, Anne McCaughey, Anita Skoog Neil, Daryl William Paccar, Marv Peterson, Jim Powell, Bill Rahr, Betty Schwind, Firman & Jean Smith, Lars Sorensen, Ed & Sharon Sweoe, Iris Tocher

**MINUTES TAKER:** Michelle Cash

1. **CALL TO ORDER:**

The meeting was called to order by Chair Keeney at 6:02 p.m.

2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Stokes to approve the meeting agenda. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Stokes to approve the January 12, 2010 regular meeting minutes of the Parks & Community Services Board as presented.**

Boardmember Grindeland made the following correction on page 2, Board Communications of the meeting minutes:

Boardmember Grindeland participated in the following events:

- Bellevue Botanical Garden d'Lights
- ~~Parks Board / Human Services Commission Holiday Potluck Dinner at Crossroads Community Center~~
- City Council Study Session
- **Crossroads Community Party**

Chair Keeney requested that community member Anita Neil's written comments from the January 12, 2010 Parks & Community Services Board meeting be included as an attachment to the meeting minutes. In addition, he suggested that the following bulleted item be added to page 4 of Anita Neil's comments:

- Written materials were submitted to the 2010 Parks & Open Space System Plan Public Hearing: Written Comments section.

**At the question, motion carried unanimously to approve the revised meeting minutes (6-0).**

4. **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS/PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

Helena Stephens, Family Youth & Teen Services Manager, Parks Department/City of Bellevue  
Ms. Stephens invited Boardmembers to the following Youth Link events:

- Gumbo Night at the home of David and Sherry Grindeland on February 24 from 5:00-7:00 p.m.
- Youth Involvement Conference at South Bellevue Community Center on March 5 at 12:00 p.m.
- 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary – Community Leadership Awards, which will be held on May 26 (further information to follow).

Rod Bindon, P.O. Box 719, Medina, WA  
Meydenbauer Bay Yacht Club

Mr. Bindon distributed his comments along with a Youth Sailing brochure to Boardmembers.

On behalf of the Meydenbauer Bay Yacht Club (MBYC), Mr. Bindon expressed his support of the proposed new park at Meydenbauer Bay. However, there are several items within the plan which the Club is not in favor of. In particular:

- The closure of 100<sup>th</sup> Ave. SE.
- The elevated viewing platform.

- The 8,000 square foot community center.
- The reduction of public moorage at the City marina.

During the park planning process, the main goals of the MBYC have been to:

- Preserve access and circulation in the immediate area of MBYC and the Park by keeping 100<sup>th</sup> SE open.
- Retain as much moorage as possible in the public marina.
- Ensure minimal impact to the Youth Sailing Program from transient boaters using the park.
- Ensure water quality in the Bay is improved by reducing siltation from Meydenbauer Creek and City Storm Sewer outfalls, and control or eliminate milfoil and other noxious weeds.

Mr. Bindon explained that there are currently 113 slips at the City marina. The MBYC feels that the recommended proposal would reduce the number of slips to less than 40. Under this plan, the MBYC anticipates that the City will forgo approximately \$4 million in revenue over the next decade. Mr. Bindon added that the MBYC urges Boardmembers to reject the proposed plan and include a revision that would retain as much boat moorage as possible (a letter to this effect was distributed to Boardmembers).

The MBYC feels that a 60% reduction in public moorage goes directly against the planning principle: “recognize the heritage of Meydenbauer Bay, and its historical use as a place to moor boats.” The Club urged the City to employ a marina design consultant who can incorporate this moorage into the new park.

Charlie Klinge, 11100 NE 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750, Bellevue, WA  
Meydenbauer Bay Neighbors Association

On behalf of the Meydenbauer Bay Neighbors Association (MBNA), Mr. Klinge expressed support for a true park, which is achieved by certain elements in the Steering Committee Recommendation but other elements are not supported.

Mr. Klinge distributed two documents to Boardmembers: 1) comments from his presentation, 2) copy of the Association’s comment letter on the Park Plan and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, which was supported by the following attachments:

- MBNA Mission Statement.
- Additional comments by MBNA regarding the scope of the Environmental Impact Statement.
- Walkabout points of impact.
- Preliminary review of park alternatives and options.
- Environmental comments.
- Letter from Association’s traffic consultant.
- Charge of the Steering Committee.

Mr. Klinge explained that the MBNA requests that the Park Board allow the Association to make a 45 minute presentation, followed by questions, at a special Board meeting. In

addition, the Association suggests that the Board conduct a Walkabout to fairly understand the site and community's concerns.

Mr. Klinge explained that the Association is concerned with the elevated walkway noting it is too tall, will look out of context, and will block views along 100<sup>th</sup> Avenue. He requested clarity about the actual height of the walkway and the elevator shaft. In addition, the Association suggests that "story poles" be placed in the corners of the proposed structure to help visualize the proposal.

Marv Peterson, 9840 SE Shoreland Drive, Bellevue, WA

Mr. Peterson distributed a flyer that demonstrated the numerous park elements with which the Meydenbauer Bay Neighbors Association agrees and disagrees. Of particular note are the MBNA concerns with the closure of 100<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

Mr. Peterson asked audience members to raise their hands if they were in support of the following:

- How many people believe 100<sup>th</sup> Ave. should remain open?
- How many people think the park can have a grand entrance and keep 100<sup>th</sup> Ave. open?
- How many people are concerned that the elevated walkway is too large, too close to the Bay and will block views?
- How many people oppose a large activity event center in Meydenbauer Park?
- How many people think the events at the activity center will create unacceptable noise that will destroy the serenity of the Bay?
- How many people are against wedding receptions going until midnight at the Meydenbauer Bay event center?
- How many people are opposed to vendor kiosks?

Numerous audience members raised their hands to support Mr. Peterson's viewpoints.

Bob Becker, 320 NE 100<sup>th</sup> Street, Bellevue, WA

Mr. Becker commended the Parks Department, Meydenbauer Steering Committee, and Consultants for the strong vision of providing a new park plan in the Meydenbauer area. He stressed the importance of the waterfront neighborhood and downtown pedestrian connections.

Mr. Becker recommended that the Board, City Council, and all those associated with the project embrace the park and vision so the planning process can move to the next phase. However, Mr. Becker suggested that the marina moorage and elevated outlooks be reevaluated.

Iris Tocher, 279 – 146<sup>th</sup> Place NE, Bellevue, WA

In the 1980s, Ms. Tocher was part of a group of citizens that created a 501(c)(3) that worked with the City to form a public/private partnership to create the Downtown Park. Ms. Tocher is hopeful that the final phase of the Downtown Park will be completed. In

addition, she discussed the citizen group's dream of the connection from Downtown Park to the waterfront.

Ms. Tocher hopes the Park Board embraces the dream and vision of the Meydenbauer concept.

David Kentala, P.O. Box 549, Bellevue, WA

Mr. Kentala concurred with the first three public comments, which opposed the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Plan.

Doug Leigh, 508 – 98<sup>th</sup> Ave. NE, Bellevue, WA

On behalf of the Meydenbauer Bay Steering Committee, Mr. Leigh conveyed the following points:

- Staff and consultants working on the project were thoughtful and considerate about their work.
- After working on the project, it was evident that the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Plan was a vision that had been envisioned by the City Council for quite some time and included in decades of Comprehensive Plans.
- Since the early 1990s, the City has been patiently acquiring the Meydenbauer property. It was an integral part of the City's vision.
- The project is a legacy for future generations.

Mr. Leigh explained that the Steering Committee's process was deliberate, lengthy, and thorough. There were over 21 committee meetings throughout the process.

The Steering Committee feels the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Plan accomplishes the following:

- Accommodates and encourages both passive and active recreational use.
- Expands the public's access to water.
- Broadens the ranges of park users.
- Improves the site ecology.
- Provides a variety of access modes to the park.
- Respects the heritage and history of the Bay.
- Provides opportunities for year-round use.
- Connects the waterfront to the adjacent neighborhoods (i.e., Downtown Old Bellevue, Wildwood, Downtown Park).

Mr. Leigh encouraged Boardmembers to pay particular attention to Section 2.5 of the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan draft Master Plan. This section includes the Steering Committee's recommendations. In addition to these recommendations, there were some issues the committee felt were beyond the scope or range of their consideration. These have been noted in the packet.

The Steering Committee unanimously and enthusiastically adopted the plan through a collaborative process within the committee. Mr. Leigh encouraged Boardmembers to move the plan forward.

Anita Skoog Neil, 9303 SE Shoreland Drive, Bellevue, WA

Ms. Neil feels that the economic recessions provide an opportunity to question priorities and reexamine where and how money is spent. Ms. Neil explained that the Parks Operating Budget is 16.6% of the current two-year General Fund budget and the Parks seven-year Capital Budget is 30.9% of the overall General Capital Investment Program.

Ms. Neil asked Boardmembers to consider the following items:

- Keep in mind the changing demographics.
- Ask what the approximate cost of the Proposed Capital Improvement Projects might be in today's dollars.
- Financing options include "give-backs" (i.e., investors/developers need a financial return for their investment), which could be at the expense of the quiet enjoyment of nearby neighborhoods.
- Bigger is not necessarily better.

Ms. Neil challenged Boardmembers to be involved in understanding the long-term financial implications of their decisions.

Ms. Neil submitted her comments in writing to Boardmembers along with her comments from the last Board meeting.

5. **CHAIR COMMUNICATION:**

6. **BOARD COMMUNICATION:**

Boardmembers have enjoyed the parks and are impressed by how well they are maintained.

Vice-Chair Roland encouraged Boardmembers to attend Youth Link's 10<sup>th</sup> Youth Involvement Conference.

7. **CITY COUNCIL COMMUNICATION:**

Chair Keeney welcomed Councilmember Robertson as the new Council Liaison to the Board.

Councilmember Robertson thanked Boardmembers for their service and looks forward to the planning process.

8. **DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS:**

A. **Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan Update**

Ms. Cole explained that the public planning process for the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan began approximately three years ago when the Council appointed a 13-member Steering Committee to guide the process. This Committee was given a charge and set of planning principles. The charge was to represent the broad community because the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront was to be a community-wide asset. Ms. Cole added that the Committee was to ensure community interests were considered and appropriately addressed. She explained that the planning principles were provided to guide the Committee as they developed and evaluated alternatives, selected final land use and park alternatives, and prepared final reports summarizing their findings.

Ms. Cole summarized the work of the Steering Committee activities, including 21 meetings, 6 open houses/public workshops, and 2 public hearings. She explained that the Committee reached its final recommendation in November 2009 and Council was briefed on February 1, 2010. Ms. Cole clarified that the plan will be resubmitted to City Council once the Park Board has made its final recommendation.

Mr. Foran commended the Steering Committee for the quality of participation for the project. In addition, he thanked Marv Peterson, Meydenbauer Bay Neighbors Association President, for his leadership with the planning process.

Mr. Foran summarized the Meydenbauer area encompassed in the plan.

Mr. Bergstrom discussed the incentives evaluated to get properties to redevelop in exchange for other pedestrian-level amenities (i.e., improved street frontages, benches, public art, etc.). In the upper block, Mr. Bergstrom noted that the final threshold for incentives was approximately 60 dwelling units per acre density with existing building allowances and possible modifications to other regulations (i.e., set backs, lot coverage restrictions, etc.). In the lower block, Mr. Bergstrom discussed the incentives for the area south of Main, which was slightly different than the upper block area. In particular, zoning would remain the same and the plan would encourage redevelopment allowing higher density (60 dwelling units per acre) and some southerly expansion of a limited amount of retail in exchange for public attributes (i.e., walkways through the block, outdoor plazas, etc.). Mr. Bergstrom emphasized that the draft Master Plan does not call for changes to existing height limits in either area.

Mr. Foran showed various slides, provided by the Eastside Heritage Society, that depicted some of the past history and heritage of the Bay. He noted that the slides focused on recreation, commerce and tourism as major elements of the Bay's heritage. He also highlighted some of the existing conditions for the Meydenbauer Bay and area.

Some of the Draft Master Plan elements that Mr. Foran discussed included:

- Ravine
- Central Waterfront
- Hillside
- Hillside/Central Waterfront Section
- Gateway
- Gateway Section
- View of Entry Plaza
- View from Lower Plaza
- South of Main
- Marina

In addition, Mr. Foran showed visual simulations of the plan, including the lower entry plaza, elevated walkway, and gardens. He noted that the current elevated walkway design needs improvement. However, the overall concept of the elevated walkway is included in the Steering Committee recommendations and the draft Plan.

Boardmember George wondered what assumptions are being made in the Parks & Open Space System Plan Update about the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Plan. In particular, she inquired if the Parks & Open Space System Plan presumes that a community center will be located at Meydenbauer Bay. Mr. Foran clarified that the Parks & Open Space System Plan recommends additional indoor community space on the west side of Bellevue. However, there are no specifics about location included in the plan. Based upon community input, Mr. Foran explained that the west side of Bellevue is underserved in terms of indoor community activity space. Meydenbauer is an opportunity to provide an indoor facility that enhances the park experience. Mr. Foran clarified that the building identified in the Meydenbauer Bay Waterfront Plan is approximately 8,000 square feet.

Mr. Parker noted that the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan has been included in the Parks & Open Space System Plan for decades. The proposal for the 2010 Parks & Open Space System Plan is to shift the verbiage for Meydenbauer from “acquisition” to “development” of the park.

Mr. Foran discussed the typical stages in a master planning process. The next phase for the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan, after City Council adoption, would be Design Development. During this phase, programming for the community center would occur. Mr. Foran added that timing for the project is dependent upon the budget.

Boardmember George asked how youth sailing is impacted by transient boat moorage. Mr. Foran explained that if boats are navigating in/out of transient moorage in the current location, it becomes a safety issue. He pointed out that the curved pier provides a hard separation between the swimming beach and the boats.

In regards to the Planning Principles (particularly #2), Boardmember LaPine inquired what programming activities would be provided throughout the park. Mr. Foran explained that the vision is to add value to the park experience. Some envisioned experiences include: walking



paths, swimming, leased moorage, boat launch for canoes and kayaks, transient moorage, gardens, public gathering place for Main Street, etc. Mr. Foran added that a key piece to the vision is to have a transition from urban to passive.

*Break: 8:00 p.m.-8:14 p.m.*

B. Parks & Open Space System Plan Update

Mr. Parker explained that the purpose of the Parks & Open Space System Plan is to guide staff and the Board when making recommendations to the City Council on the acquisition, development and management of parks and open spaces citywide. He added that the plan keeps the City eligible for state grants and helps to maintain the accreditation status.

Mr. Parker discussed the key modifications from the 2003 to 2010 Plan, which include:

- Goals aligned with Comprehensive Plan.
- Level of service for neighborhood parks changed.
- Capital project recommendations updated.

Mr. Parker noted that the City has a goal to maintain at least 85% satisfaction rating in annual surveys of Bellevue residents. However, there are not currently goals for individual active participation or service area since these are new performance measures that do not yet have track records.

Ms. Fehrman discussed the map included in the Board packet that depicted the park capital projects.

Boardmembers thanked staff for the thorough presentation.

Boardmember Stokes inquired why participation in outdoor activities is only captured in the report. Mr. Parker clarified that the measures align the City with the State's Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan, which focuses on outdoor recreation.

Boardmember Stokes favors partnerships with various private and public corporations and suggested that this be added to the plan.

Boardmember George wondered what the affect is from including a project in the plan. Mr. Parker explained that projects included in the plan are reviewed and prioritized in the CIP budget process. Mr. Foran clarified that the Parks & Open Space System Plan is not a regulatory document. It is intended to provide a long-term vision for the parks system. Mr. Foran noted the value of the projects being listed in the plan is the first step in getting funding consideration for a project.

When discussing service levels, Boardmember George suggested that goals be identified if levels of service are specified and measured. Chair Keeney suggested that language be added to the plan that includes means for establishing service level goals. Boardmember LaPine cautioned that measurements must be clearly thought out—what is measured is what people will work

toward, which may have unintended consequences. He requested a report or analysis of measures in six months. Rather than setting specific timeframes, Mr. Foran recommended that staff provide periodic reports. Boardmembers concurred with this suggestion.

Boardmember George suggested that the heading on page 47, "Designing for Multiple Uses" be changed to, "Designing for Multiple Recreation Uses" so parks stay parks and not, for instance, Light Rail pathways. Mr. Foran explained the generic nature of "Recreation" and suggested the following verbiage: "Design for uses which are consistent with the plan and principles of the Master Plan."

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Stokes to amend Page 47 of the Bellevue Parks & Open Space System Plan to delete "~~Designing for Multiple Uses~~" and replace the verbiage with, "*Design for uses which are consistent with the plan and principles of the Master Plan.*" At the question, motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Grindeland to extend the meeting until 9:15 p.m. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

**Motion by Boardmember Stokes and second by Vice-Chair Roland to add the following item to the Bellevue Parks & Open Space System Plan:**

**Page 83 of Board packet/Capital Project Recommendation:**

**Add: "*Partner with private for-profit or non-profit corporations where advantageous to the achievement of capital project goals.*"**

**At the question, motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Grindeland to recommend that the Bellevue Parks & Open Space System Plan be forwarded to City Council for adoption with the changes noted above. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

9. **DIRECTOR'S REPORT:**

None.

10. **ONGOING/OLD BUSINESS:**

A. **Boardmember committee/liaison reports**

None.

11. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. Future agenda items

Boardmember LaPine suggested that staff analyze the impacts on the Mercer Slough from the Sound Transit East Link alternative crossing through the center of the park and report back to the Park Board for consideration. Mr. Foran explained that this type of evaluation typically occurs during the City's evaluation of the alternative. He suggested that the lead staff person who is managing the entire project communicate with the Board.

Boardmember George explained that some Boardmembers are interested in providing a vehicle for a public forum for voicing concerns about the East Link alternative crossing the Slough (B7 Modified). Chair Keeney added that the Park Board would like to take an educated position on the alternative. However, further education needs to take place before this can occur. Mr. Foran suggested the following options to meet the Board's intent:

- Send a letter to City Council explaining the Board's desire to learn more about the alternative.
- Utilize the Council liaison, Councilmember Robertson, to assist with advice on the issue.

Vice-Chair Roland would like to trust the planning process for Sound Transit and wait for further information, rather than initiating a public forum.

**Motion by Boardmember Stokes and second by Vice-Chair Roland to authorize the Park Board Chair to write a letter to City Council to request further information about the B7 Modified East Link alternative for Sound Transit; and to authorize a designated Boardmember to discuss the issue with the Council liaison. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).**

12. **OTHER COMMUNICATION:**

A. CIP Project Report

B. Staff Communications:

- Email response to and reply from Dick Morris re oral communication request on 2-9-10

C. Letter from Robert Kelly re a pool for the Eastside

13. **INFORMATION:**

A. List of upcoming Parks special events

B. March 9, room 1E-113 – next scheduled regular Park Board meeting

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Grindeland to extend the meeting until 9:30 p.m. Motion carried unanimously (4-2).**

14. **ORAL COMMUNICATIONS/PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

Pamela Ebsworth, 10000 Meydenbauer Way, Bellevue, WA

Ms. Ebsworth explained that 10000 Meydenbauer Bay welcomes Meydenbauer Bay Park. However, she expressed her concern with the potential closure of SE 100<sup>th</sup>. This road provides access and parking for residents, guests, service people, deliveries, and emergency vehicles.

Ms. Ebsworth explained that her concern for the possible closure of SE 100<sup>th</sup> extends beyond her personal needs. The loop created by Meydenbauer Way and SE 100<sup>th</sup> is a major thoroughfare offering an alternative to the gridlock on Old Main Street. She added that thus far, no adequate traffic study has been conducted to show the ever-increasing traffic problems in the neighborhood.

Ms. Ebsworth expressed her concern with the increase in the amount of time it would take emergency vehicles to respond to an emergency if SE 100<sup>th</sup> were closed.

In addition, the removal of SE 100<sup>th</sup> amounts to a taking of property rights and the loss of property value. Ms. Ebsworth would like this issue addressed.

Ms. Ebsworth feels the elevated pier would be inappropriate and out-of-synch for the Park and would block views for many residents, thus diminishing property value.

Bill Rahr, 16509 SE 18<sup>th</sup> Street, Bellevue, WA

Mr. Rahr distributed a memo to Boardmembers that discussed his concern with the 644 acres that serves as the watershed of Phantom Lake, including Airfield Park.

The following is a list of Mr. Rahr's concerns:

- The preferred Alternative A for Airfield Park is estimated to cost \$20-\$24 million. However, only \$10 million is available from approved funding sources.
- There is a complicated grouping of drainage infrastructures within the Airfield Park. This area is environmentally sensitive and related to the safety and well-being of the adjacent neighborhood. Phantom Lake water quality and quantity is dependent upon the functioning of this system.

Mr. Rahr asked the Parks Department to be concerned with the design phase of Airfield Park to make the project as successful as possible.

Brian Parks, 16011 SE 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Bellevue, WA

Mr. Parks explained that many of the posted Portable Document Format (PDF) files on the City's website are being made from scanned pages, rather than live text digital files. He discussed the benefits of live text digital files. Mr. Parks requested a vector PDF of page 23 of the Parks & Open Space System Plan (Walkable Access map). In addition, he suggested that all City documents use live text.

Laurie Lyford, 9529 Lake Washington Blvd., Bellevue, WA

Ms. Lyford expressed her concern with the verbiage that was adopted for the Parks & Open Space System Plan that pertains to public/private partnerships. She feels this verbiage change may encourage a dangerous relationship that has the potential to jeopardize jurisdictional and citizen oversight for management of public lands and funding. In addition, she asked that Mr. Foran address the kiosk element of the Meydenbauer Plan at the next meeting.

Charlie Klinge, 11100 NE 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750, Bellevue, WA

Meydenbauer Bay Neighbors Association

Mr. Klinge expressed his disappointment that the Planning Principles for the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan were not discussed. He also noted that although the final decision/recommendation of the Steering Committee was unanimous, the individual decision making was not.

Mr. Klinge asked the following questions:

- Can the Board meet the Planning Principles and other goals, while keeping 100<sup>th</sup> open?
- When will a briefing be conducted from the Transportation Department?
- When can the Association have a dialogue with the Park Board?

In addition, Mr. Klinge explained that the Association is concerned with the potential of wedding receptions.

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember LaPine to extend the meeting until 9:35 p.m. Motion carried unanimously (4-2).**

Anita Skoog Neil, 9303 SE Shoreland Drive, Bellevue, WA

Ms. Neil requested that Mr. Foran highlight the kiosks in the Meydenbauer Bay Park and Land Use Plan.

15. **ADJOURNMENT:**

**Motion by Vice-Chair Roland and second by Boardmember Stokes to adjourn the meeting at 9:32 p.m. Motion carried unanimously (6-0).**